गोंडवाना विद्यापीठ, गडचिरोली

(महाराष्ट्र शासन अधिसूचनाक्रमांक २००७/(३२२/०७)विशि-४ महाराष्ट्र विद्यापीठ अधिनियम १९९४(१९९४ चा महा.३५) च्या कलम ३ च्या पोटकलम(२) अन्वये दिनांक २७ सप्टेंबर, २०११ रोजी स्थापित व महाराष्ट्र सार्वजनिक विद्यापीठ अधिनियम, २०१६ (सन २०१७ चा महाराष्ट्र विद्यापीठ अधिनियम क्रमांक ६) व्दारा संचालित राज्य विद्यापीठ)

(कुलसचिव कार्यालय)

एम. आय. डी.सी. रोड, कॉम्पलेक्स, गडचिरोली जि. गडचिरोली ४४२६०५

email:- registrar@unigug.ac.in दूरध्वनी क्र. ०७१३२-२२३१०४ email:- establishment.section@unigug.ac.in

जा.क्र. गो.वि./कुसका/२३४२/२०२३ दि. ०६/०३/२०२३

अधिसुचना

सर्व संबधीतांना सुचीत करण्यात येते की, महाराष्ट्र सार्वजनिक विद्यापीठे अधिनियम, २०१६ अन्वये विद्यापीठाच्या अधिसभेने व्यवस्थापन परिषदेवर निवडून द्यावयाच्या विविध जागेकरीता अधिसभेच्या दि. १० मार्च, २०२३ रोजीच्या सभेतील निवडणुकीच्या अनुषंगाने कलम ३० (४) अन्वये दि. २७/०२/२०२३ पर्यंत नामनिर्देशन पत्रे मागविण्यात आलेले होते.

उपरोक्त नमुद दिनांकापर्यंत प्राप्त नामनिर्देशन पत्राची छानणी दि. २८/०२/२०२३ रोजी करण्यात येवून छानणीअंती वैध व अवैध उमेदवारांची यादी दि. ०१/०३/२०२३ रोजी प्रसिध्द करण्यात आलेली होती. सदर नामनिर्देशन पत्राच्या वैध व अवैधतेबाबत दि. ०२/०३/०२३ रोजी मा. कुलगुरु महोदयांकडे अपील दाखल करण्यास्तव कळविलेले होते. त्याअनुषंगाने उक्त दिनांकापर्यंत मा. कुलगुरु महोदयांनी प्राप्त अपीलावर दिनांक ०४/०३/२०२३ रोजी प्रत्यक्ष सुनावणी घेण्यात येवून सुनावणीअंती मा. कुलगुरु महोदयांनी अपीलावर दिलेल्या निर्णयाची माहिती विद्यापीठ संकेतस्थळावर प्रसिध्द करण्यात येत आहे.

सहपत्र :- अपीलावरील निर्णयाची प्रत.

(डॉ. अनिल हिरेखण)
कुलसचिव तथा निवडणुक
अधिकारी
गोंडवाना विद्यापीठ, गडचिरोली.

In appeal No. 1/2023

Appellant: Dr. Gorlawar Vivek Santoshrao

Vs

The Registrar, Gondwana University, Gadchiroli

Order

The appellant has raised an objection to the nomination paper for contesting the election of Management Council as a representative from the Teachers Constituency.

The appellant is placing reliance on the notification dated 28.04.2017 by which the following qualification is prescribed for the candidate:

1. Shall possess a PhD Degree with at least 10 years teaching in aggregate or shall have at least 15 Years' teaching experience in aggregate and
2. Shall have undertaken university examination-related work for at least 5 years in aggregate.

The contention of the appellant is that with the nomination of Dr. Gaur Rupendrakumar Indrapalsingh, no such documents have been attached and therefore the nomination of Dr. Gaur Rupendrakumar Indrapalsingh be rejected.

I have pursued the requirement. As per the requirement, the documents objected are not annexed by Dr Gaur Rupendrakumar Indrapalsingh. However, while filling nomination for member of the Senate, Dr Gaur Rupendrakumar Indrapalsingh has produced all the documents which are in the records of the University, which is not disputed.

It is not the Appellant's contention that the candidate does not hold a qualification or that the data furnished in the nomination form is false.

Hence, the qualification as prescribed in Election Program is reflected in the nomination form.

Hence, the **Appeal is rejected** being unmerited.

5.03.2023

(Dr. Prashant Bokare)
Vice-Chancellor

In appeal No. 2/2023

Appellant: Dr Ladke Lemraj Sadashiv

Vs

Dr. Peddiraju Arun Prakash

Order

The appellant has raised an objection to the nomination paper for contesting the Management Council's election as a Principal's Constituency representative of the Senate.

The appellant is placing reliance on the notification dated 28.04.2017 by which the following qualification is prescribed for the candidate:

1. Shall possess a PhD Degree unless appointed as a Principal before 13th October 2000
2. Shall have undertaken university examination-related work for at least 5 years in aggregate.

The contention of the appellant is that Dr Peddiraju Arun Prakash has not attached documents and therefore the nomination of Dr Peddiraju Arun Prakash be rejected.

I have pursued the requirement. As per the requirement, the documents objected are not annexed by Dr Peddiraju Arun Prakash. However, while filling nomination for member of the Senate, Dr Peddiraju Arun Prakash has produced all the documents which are in the records of the University, which is not disputed.

It is not the Appellant's contention that the candidate does not hold qualifications or that the data furnished in the nomination form is false.

Hence, the qualification as prescribed in Election Program is reflected in the nomination form.

Hence, the **Appeal is rejected** being unmerited.

05.03.2023

(Dr. Prashant Bokare)
Vice-Chancellor

In appeal No. 3/2023

Appellant: Shri. Dontulwar Prashant Rajannaji

Vs

The Registrar, Gondwana University, Gadchiroli

Order

In so far as the language employed by the candidate is concerned what is required is "Members of Senate". Instead of it, Dr Chaudhary Dilip Baburao has stated "Member of Senate" such ministerial error cannot be held to be a deficiency in the nomination form.

The contention of the appeal is that the nomination candidate is facing litigation about disqualification Under Section 64 and eligibility for membership in the Senate.

It is to state here that the matter is pending before Hon'ble High Court and no adverse orders in this controversy are passed.

Hence, the objection is without any basis.

Hence, the **appeal is rejected**.

05.03.2023

(Dr. Prashant Bokare)
Vice-Chancellor

In appeal No. 4/2023

Appellant: Dr Chaudhary Dilip Baburao

Vs

The Registrar, Gondwana University, Gadchiroli

In a matter of Shri. Gurudas Kamdi, the objection is that as per the election program, one post is reserved for NT.

According to the appellant, the reservation is under challenge before Hon'ble High Court and is still pending. The objection is that it ought not to be notified to NT by rotation.

However, I have to observe that under the garb of objection to the nomination, deficiency in the election program cannot be looked into. Hence, the **appeal is rejected**.

05.03.2023

(Dr. Prashant Bokare)
Vice-Chancellor